



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

09/837,055

04/18/2001

Masahide Hirasawa

B208-1132

9180

26272 7590 04/25/2008  
COWAN LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN P.C.  
JOHN J TORRENTE  
1133 AVE OF THE AMERICAS  
NEW YORK, NY 10036

EXAMINER

SHAW, PELING ANDY

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2144

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/25/2008

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |   |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>09/837,055 | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>HIRASAWA, MASAhide |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>PELING A. SHAW    | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2144                   |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) PELING A. SHAW. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) John J. Torrente (reg. no. 26,359). (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 16 April 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 11.

Identification of prior art discussed: Seong (US 6785720 B1) and Johnson et al. (US 5584039 A).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant has gone through the claimed invention in light of specification and drawings. Applicant has further discussed IEEE 1394 and IEC 61883. Examiner has discussed the usage of CIP header with respect to the limitations as claimed. Applicant would look through the claim language and arguments to draw an official response to further the prosecution.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/P. A. S./  
Examiner, Art Unit 2144

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required